arXiv:1006.5236v1 [math.RT] 27 Jun 2010

GEOMETRIC WEIL REPRESENTATIONS FOR STAR-ANALOGUES OF $SL(2,k)$

LUIS GUTIÉRREZ-FREZ, JOSÉ PANTOJA, AND JORGE SOTO-ANDRADE

Abstract. We present here an elementary geometric approach to the construction of Weil representations of the star-analogues $SL_*(2,A)$, A a ring or algebra with involution $*$, of the group SL(2, k), k a field, reminiscent of the quantum groups $SL_q(2,A)$. We review as well the elementary construction of Weil representations for these groups via generators and relations, which uses the Bruhat presentation available in many cases. We compare the representations obtained by both methods in the non - classical case of the finite truncated polynomial algebra A_m of degree m with its canonical involution and obtain the analogue of the Maslov Index in this case.

1. Introduction

The introduction of star-analogues (also written "$*$-analogues") of classical groups may be motivated by the attempt to construct a theory of "non conmutative determinants" (i. e. to define some sort of determinant for square matrices with non commuting entries). This problem has a long story, going back to Cayley and Dieudonné [2] and undergoing new developpments in the hands of I. M. Gelfand and collaborators in the 90's [3].

To approach this old problem, we may try to settle for a case which lies half way between commutativity and non-commutativity, to wit, the case in which non commutativity is "controlled" by an involution in the coefficient ring A, where the entries of our matrices lie. More precisely, we assume that A admits an involutive anti-automorphism, denoted $T : a \mapsto a^*$. We say in this case that A is an *involutive ring*, or a *ring with involution.* We may think then of T as a measure of the lack of commutativity of the multiplication m in A. In fact, when A is an involutive algebra, if we write $m : A \otimes A \to A$ for the multiplication of A and $S : A \otimes A \to A \otimes A$ for the "flip" $x \otimes y \longmapsto y \otimes x$, we see that T "transforms" m into $m \circ S$ as follows: $m \circ S = T \circ m \circ (T \otimes T)^{-1}$. This is quite analogous to the way in which the $R-$matrix "controls" the lack of co-commutativity in a quantum group, i. e. $S \circ \Delta = \mathcal{I}_R \circ \Delta$, where Δ stands for the comultiplication in the corresponding Hopf algebra and $\mathcal{I}_R$ denotes conjugation by the $R-$matrix, which is an invertible element in $A \otimes A$.

So, if we consider, to begin with, 2×2 matrices over a ring A with involution, we may expect to be able to define a non-commutative (or rather, a $*-$commutative)

Date: May 15, 2010 .

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 20C33, 20F05; Secondary 20C25, 15A33, 16W10,17B37.

Key words and phrases. Weil representation, Bruhat presentation, generators and relations, star-analogues, geometric Gauss sum, classical groups, contraction, connection.

All authors were partially supported by Fondecyt Grant #1070246.

$*-$*determinant* for matrices whose entries "$*-$commute", by an expression of the form $ad^* - bc^*$, or the like.

This is indeed the case, as we explain in section 2 below, where the groups $GL_*(2, A)$ and $SL_*(2, A)$ are introduced as in [11], in a way reminiscent of the quantum groups $GL_q(2, A)$ and $SL_q(2, A)$.

Notice that by taking the most familiar example of a non-conmutative involutive ring, to wit $A = M(n, k), k$ a field, with the transpose mapping as involution, our $SL_*(2, A)$ is none other than the symplectic group $Sp(2n, k)$, and that $GL_*(2, A)$ is the associated symplectic similitude group $GSp(2n, k)$ [13]. Varying the ring with involution, we may obtain other classical and non-classical groups as well [12, 5].

This viewpoint suggests among other things that these groups may admit presentations, in terms of generators and relations, that are natural $*-$analogues of the well known *Bruhat presentation* for $GL(2, k)$ and $SL(2, k)$, over a base field k [13].

This is indeed the case when the involutive ring A is a full matrix ring over a field, with the transpose as involution [13], or a truncated polynomial ring with the canonical involution [5], besides the very classical case of $\mathbb{Z}$ (with the trivial involution). As described below the existence of this sort of presentation seems to be closely related to the existence of a weak non commutative $*$ - analogue of the euclidean algorithm in the base involutive ring.

A first step to establish the existence of Bruhat presentation for general $*$-analogues of $GL_*(2, A)$ and $SL_*(2, A)$, was already accomplished in [11], where a *Bruhat decomposition* for these groups was obtained in the case of an artinian involutive base ring A. Later, the classical Bruhat presentation of $GL(2, k)$ was extended to the case of an artinian simple involutive A in [8]. Then the existence of a Bruhat presentation for $GL_*(2, A)$ and $SL_*(2, A)$ was proved in [12] for a wide class of involutive rings A, namely, those admitting an $*-$analogue of the euclidean algorithm for coprime elements, called here "weakly euclidean rings with involution", that includes the artinian simple rings considered in [8].

Turning now to group representation theory, we recall that in the special case of $A = M(n, k)$ with the transpose involution, in [13] the Bruhat presentation obtained was put to use to construct in an elementary way *Weil representations* for the symplectic groups $Sp(2n, k)$ and their associated symplectic similitude groups $GSp(2n, k)$, k a finite field, and then to obtain - by decomposition - all their irreducible representations when $n \leq 2$. The method employed was to define the Weil operators for the Bruhat generators of the group and then checking that the Bruhat relations among these generators were preserved by the given operators. The crucial point of the verification was the calculation of Gauss sums associated to quadratic spaces of even rank over k.

Recently, in [5] a Weil representation was constructed following this line of thought for the non classical group $SL_*(2, A_m)$, where $A_m = \mathbb{F}_q[x]/\langle x^m \rangle$ endowed with the involution determined by $x^* = -x$, by proving first that this non semisimple involutive ring A_m is weakly euclidean and calculating the relevant Gauss sums. We recall that for the ring $A = \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$, with trivial involution, a Weil representation for $SL(2, A)$ has been constructed by a different method in [1], where the decomposition problem is solved. This problem however remains open for most Weil representations so constructed.

We should point out however that a different approach to the construction of Weil representations, which is also elementary but more geometric in nature, was sketched first in [14] for $SL(2,k)$ and later applied in [10] to recover the classical Weil representation in the case of $Sp(2n,k)$, k a finite field, as well as the generalized Weil representations constructed with the help of *Grassmann-Heisenberg groups* for $SL(n,k)$, n even, in [9]. For another different geometric, albeit non elementary construction, see [4].

Our aim in this paper is to construct in a elementary geometric way Weil representations for our $SL_*(2,A)$ groups and to compare them with the Weil representations hitherto constructed via generators and relations with the help of a Bruhat presentation. The content of this paper is as follows.

In section 2, we introduce the $*$-analogues $GL_*(2,A)$ and $SL_*(2,A)$ and give several specific examples.

In section 3 we explain how a Bruhat presentation for our groups follows from the existence of a $*$-analogue of a weak euclidean algorithm in the corresponding base involutive ring A and recall the results obtained so far along this line.

In section 4, we present first the general method of contraction of a G-Hilbert bundle along a given G-equivariant connection, to construct representations of a finite group G and give complete proofs of some results announced in the note [10]. We then specialize to the case relevant to us here, of a finite k-algebra with involution, k a finite field, for which a Lagrangian Hilbert bundle is constructed, endowed with a natural G-equivariant connection. We contract this bundle over a base point, along the given connection, to construct a projective unitary (generalized) Weil representations for $G = SL_*(2,A)$ associated to a given self-dual A-module and we express its 2-cocycle in terms of a "geometric Gauss sum", which gives the analogue of the Maslov Index in this case (see [7, 6]).

Section 5 address the case of a non semi-simple involutive base ring A with nilpotent radical, to wit the case of the truncated polynomial ring $A_m = k[x]/\langle x^m\rangle$ of nilpotency degree m over the finite base field k. We recall the construction of a Weil representation of $G = SL_*(2,A)$ via Bruhat generators and relations, following [5]. We apply then the geometric method of contraction of a suitable Lagrangian bundle for G to construct a projective Weil representation of G, that we compare with the true representation previously constructed, to find that they coincide up to multiplication by a "correcting" 1-cocycle whose coboundary is the 2-cocycle of our projective geometric Weil representation.

2. The groups $GL_*(2,A)$ and $SL_*(2,A)$

We recall the definition of the groups $GL_*(2,k)$ and $SL_*(2,A)$ introduced in [11]. Let A be a unitary ring with an involution, i.e. an involutive anti-automorphism of A, denoted $* : a \mapsto a^*$.

Let $GL_*(2,A)$ be the set of matrices $g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$, $a,b,c,d \in A$ such that $ab^* = ba^*, cd^* = dc^*, a^*c = c^*a, b^*d = d^*b, ad^* - bc^* = a^*d - c^*b \in Z_s(A)^\times$, where $Z_s(A)^\times$denotes the group of the symmetric, central, invertible elements of A.

Let $\det_*$, be the function on $GL_*(2,A)$ defined by $\det_*(g) = ad^* - bc^*$. We call $\det_*$ the $*-$*determinant* of $GL_*(2,A)$.

The set $GL_*(2,A)$ is a group under matrix multiplication and $\det_*$ is an epimorphism of groups from $GL_*(2,A)$ onto $Z_s(A)^\times$ (see [11]).

We define then $SL_*(2,A)$ as the kernel of the epimorphism $\det_*$.

2.1. **Examples.**

i. Let $A = M(n,k)$, k a field and let $*$ be the usual transpose mapping. Then $SL_*(2,A) = Sp(2n,k)$.

ii. Let $A = M(2m,k)$, k a field and let $*$ the be the adjoint mapping with respect to a non-degenerate skew symmetric form on $V = k^{2m}$. Then $SL_*(2,A) = O_+(2m,k)$, the orthogonal group of the hyperbolic quadratic form of rank $2m$.

iii. Let $A = k[G]$, k a field, G a finite group and $*$ the involution on A defined by $g^* = g^{-1}$.
Notice that this example affords a non-classical group $SL_*(2,A)$ in the modular case, when the group algebra $k[G]$ is not semi-simple.
More generally, one could take A to be a Hopf algebra, with the antipode as involution (when this is the case).

iv. The doubling construction [11]: Let A_1 and A_2 be two rings with identity and $\varphi : A_1 \to A_2$ be an anti-isomorphism. Let $A = A_1 \oplus A_2$. We define an involution $*$ in A by $(x_1,x_2)^* = \left(\varphi^{-1}(x_2), \varphi(x_1)\right)$, for $x_i \in A_i$. Then we have: $SL_*(2,A) \cong Gl(2,A_1)$
Notice that to any unitary ring R we may associate its "involutive double", i. e. the involutive ring $\mathcal{D}(R)$ generated by R, as follows: just apply the preceding construction to $A_1 = R, A_2 = R^{op}$, the opposite ring to R, and $\varphi = Id : R \to R^{op}$. Then $GL(2,R) \cong SL_*(2,\mathcal{D}(R))$.

3. Bruhat Presentation of $G = SL_*(2,A)$

The classical euclidean algorithm implies that if $a, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ are such that $\mathbb{Z}a + \mathbb{Z}c = \mathbb{Z}$, then there exists an euclidean chain

$a = s_0c + r_0$
$c = s_1r_0 + r_1$
$r_0 = s_2r_1 + r_2$
$r_1 = s_3r_2 + r_3$
...
$r_{n-2} = s_nr_{n-1} + r_n$,

such that $r_n \in \mathbb{Z}^\times$ (the multiplicative group of $\mathbb{Z}$), and conversely.

In terms of the group $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ this may be re-interpreted as follows:

Let $u(s) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & s \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ for $s \in \mathbb{Z}$, $w = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$,
$h(a) = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$ for $a \in \mathbb{Z}^\times$.

Then for every $g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ there exists a double sequence $r_0, s_0, r_1, s_1, ..., r_{n+1}, s_{n+1}$ of elements of $\mathbb{Z}$, such that

$$wu(-s_{n+1})...wu(-s_1)wu(-s_0)g = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix} = h(e)u(t),$$

for suitable $e = \pm 1, t \in \mathbb{Z}$, so that $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ is generated by $u(s)$, $s \in \mathbb{Z}, h(a)$, $a \in \mathbb{Z}^\times$ and w.

This motivates the following definition, for an involutive ring $(A,*)$, instead of $\mathbb{Z}$.

Definition 3.1. $(A,*)$ is a weakly euclidean ring (called $*$-euclidean ring in [12]) if given $a,b \in A$ such that $a^*b = b^*a$ (i.e. a and b $*$ - commute), $Aa + Ab = A$ (i. e. a and b are coprime), then there are finite sequences of elements $s_0, s_1, ..., s_{n-1} \in A_s$ and $r_1, r_2, ..., r_n \in A$ with $r_n \in A^\times$ such that

$a = s_0 b + r_1$
$b = s_1 r_1 + r_2$
$. \ . \ .$
$r_{n-2} = s_{n-1} r_{n-1} + r_n$

We observe that if $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_*(2,A)$, then a and c are coprime.

Set now

$$h(a) = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & (a^*)^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad u(s) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & s \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad w = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

for $a \in A^\times$, $s \in A_s$, which we call *Bruhat generators* for $SL_*(2,A)$.

Then we may easily check:

Lemma 3.2. *:*
Let $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_*(2,A)$ *with* $c \in A^\times$. *Then*
$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = h(-c^{*^{-1}})u(-c^*a)wu_(c^{-1}d)$

The following theorem is proved in [12]

Theorem 3.3. *If* $(A,*)$ *is a weakly euclidean ring, then the elements* $u(s)$, $(s \in A_s), h(a)$, $(a \in A^\times)$ *and* w, *generate the group* $SL_*(2,A)$.

□

Notice that if we have a least upper bound for the length of the euclidean chains associated to pairs of $*$-commuting elements $a, c \in A$, which are coprime, then we get a corresponding least upper bound for the $w-$length of the expression of any $g \in SL_*(2,A)$ as a word in terms of our generators. Recall that the w-length of an element $g \in G$ is the minimal j such that $g \in (BwB)^j$, where B is the subgroup of G generated by $h(t)$, and $u(b)$, $t \in A_m^\times$, $b \in A_m^s$ and $B^0 = B$.

For instance, in the case of $A = M_n(k)$, k a commutative field, with the transpose mapping as involution, we have proved [13]:

Proposition 3.4. *If* $a, c \in A$ *satisfy* $a^*c = c^*a$ *and* $Aa + Ac = A$, *then there exists a symmetric matrix* $s \in A$, *such that* $a + sc \in A^\times$

□

From this result it follows that the group $SL_*(2,A)$ is generated in this case by its Bruhat generators and that its Bruhat length is 2.

Moreover, in [12] the following crucial lemma is proved:

Lemma 3.5. *Let* A *be a simple artinian ring with involution that is either infinite or isomorphic to the full matrix ring over* $\mathbb{F}_q$ *with* $q > 3$. *Let* $a, b \in A_s$ *be such that* $a, b \notin A^\times$. *Then there exists* $u \in A^\times \cap A_s$ *such that* $a + u, b - u^{-1} \in A^\times$

□

From here, we are able to prove:

Theorem 3.6. *With the hypothesis of lemma 4, the group* $SL_*(2, A)$ *has a Bruhat presentation, i.e.,* $G =< h(t), u(b), w : t \in A^\times, b \in A_s, \mathcal{R} >$ *where* $\mathcal{R}$ *is the set of relations*

1. $h(t)h(t') = h(tt')$
2. $u(b)u(b') = u(b + b')$
3. $w^2 = h(-1)$
4. $h(t)u(b) = u(tbt^*)h(t)$
5. $wh(t) = h(t^{*^{-1}})w$
6. $wu(t^{-1})wu(t)wu(t^{-1}) = h(t)$

where $t, t' \in A^\times, b, b' \in A^s$.

□

This theorem generalizes our previous results [11, 8] concerning the existence of Bruhat presentations for $G = SL_*(2, A)$ but leaves open the case of a non-semisimple involutive ring A, for instance.

4. Geometric construction of Weil representations of $SL_*(2, A)$

4.1. **Construction of representations of G by contraction of a G-Hilbert bundle over a base point.** Let G be a finite group and $\mathcal{H} = (E, p, B, \tau)$ a G-Hilbert bundle, with total space E, base B, projection $p : E \longrightarrow B$ and G-action $\tau = (\tau^E, \tau^B)$, where τ^E is an action of G in E, τ^B is an action of G in B, such that $p \circ \tau^E_g = \tau^B_g \circ p$ for all $g \in G$. The fiber $p^{-1}(b)$ above $b \in B$ will be denoted by E_b. We also write τ instead of τ^E or τ^B, and also simply $\tau^E_g(v) = g.v, \quad \tau^B_g(v) = g.b$, for $g \in G, v \in E, b \in B$.

Moreover each fiber E_b is endowed with a (finite dimensional) Hilbert space structure $<, >$ which is preserved by the G-action τ.

Definition 4.1. A G- equivariant connection on a G- Hilbert vector bundle $\mathcal{H} = (E, p, B, \tau)$ is a family of Hilbert space isomorphisms $\Gamma = \{\gamma_{b',b} \mid \gamma_{b',b} : E_b \to E_{b'}\}_{b,b' \in B}$ such that

i. $\langle \gamma_{b',b}(f), \gamma_{b',b}(h) \rangle = \langle f, h \rangle \qquad (f, h \in E_b)$
ii. $\langle \gamma_{b',b}(f), h \rangle = \langle f, \gamma_{b,b'}(h) \rangle \qquad (f \in E_b, h \in E_{b'})$
iii. $\gamma_{b,b'} \circ \gamma_{b',b} = \gamma_{b,b} = id_{E_b} \qquad (b, b' \in B)$
iv. $\gamma_{b'',b'} \circ \gamma_{b',b} = \mu_\Gamma(b'', b', b)\gamma_{b'',b} \qquad (b, b', b'' \in B)$
for a suitable mapping $\mu_\Gamma : B \times B \times B \to \mathbb{C}^\times$, called the multiplier of Γ.
v. $\tau_g \circ \gamma_{b',b} = \gamma_{\tau_g(b'),\tau_g(b)} \circ \tau_g \qquad (b, b' \in B, g \in G)$.

We say that the connection Γ is flat iff its multiplier μ_Γ is the constant function $\mathbf{1}$.

Proposition 4.2. *Given a G- Hilbert space bundle $\mathcal{H} = (E, p, B, \tau)$ endowed with a G-equivariant connection $\Gamma = \{\gamma_{b',b} \mid \gamma_{b',b} : E_b \to E_{b'}\}_{b,b' \in B}$, with multiplier μ, we can associate to each point $b \in B$ a projective unitary representation (V_b, ρ^b) of G defined as follows:*

i. $V_b = E_b$ *as a Hilbert space,*
ii. $\rho^b_g(v) = \gamma_{b,\tau^B_g(b)}\tau^E_g(v)$ *for all* $g \in G, v \in V_b$

whose cocycle c is given by
$c(g,h) = \mu_\Gamma(b, g.b, gh.b)$ *for all $g,h \in G$. If Γ is flat then ρ^b is a true representation of G.*

The proof is a straightforward calculation.

□

Definition 4.3. The representation (V_b, ρ^b) constructed by the proposition above, is called the representation of G obtained by contraction of the Hilbert bundle $\mathcal{H}$ over b along the connection Γ.

Remark 4.4. Notice that the linear isomorphisms $\gamma_{b',b}$ of Γ afford isomorphisms from the representation (V_b, ρ^b) onto $(V_{b'}, \rho^{b'})$, even if b and b' do not belong to the same G-orbit in B.

We recall next how to construct Weil representations by this contraction procedure,

4.2. Construction of Weil representations of $G = SL_*(2,A)$ by contraction of Lagrangian fiber bundles.

Let A be a finite k-algebra with an involution $*$ that fixes the finite base field k. We will construct now a representation of $G = SL_*(2,A)$ by contraction of a suitable G-fiber bundle along an appropiate G-equivariant connection.

4.2.1. *Lagrangian bundles for G.* Let S be an A-left module which is finite dimensional as a k-vector space. Then S is an A-right module as well, with $s.a = a^*.s$ $(a \in A, s \in S)$. We suppose given a non-degenerate, k-bilinear, symmetric and A-balanced pairing $\eta : S \times S \to k$. Recall that η is A-balanced iff for all $a \in A, s, t \in S$, we have $\eta(s.a, t) = \eta(s, a.t)$ i.e. $\eta(a^*.s, t) = \eta(s, a.t)$, so that a^* appears as the adjoint of $a \in A$ with respect to η.

We say then that (S, η) is a *self dual $A-$module.*

We set $W = S \oplus S$ and we define a symplectic form B on W by

$$B((s,t),(s',t')) = \eta(s,t') - \eta(t,s'))$$

for all $(s,t),(s',t') \in W$. We fix a non trivial character ψ of the additive group k^+ of k and we put $\chi = \psi \circ B$.

We define a *Lagrangian* L in W to be a right A - submodule L of W which is maximal totally isotropic for B, or equivalently, such that $L = L^\perp$.

For a complete description of the Lagrangians in the case of $A = M(n,k)$(example i. in section 2), see [10].

Notice that the group $G = SL_*(2,A)$ acts naturally on W by left and right matrix multiplication, according to vectors in W being looked upon as column or row vectors, respectively. We will write $g.w$ for the first and $w.g$ for the second, for $w \in W, g \in G$. Then in what follows gw may mean $g.w$ or $w.g^{-1}$ and gL, for $L \subset W$ means in any case $\{gw | w \in L\}$. Recalling that the pairing η is A-balanced, we check easily the following

Proposition 4.5. *We have*

$$B(gw, gw') = B(w, w')$$

for all $g \in SL_*(2,A); w, w' \in W$.

□

We will construct now a G- Hilbert bundle $\mathcal{H} = (\mathcal{E}, p, \mathcal{L}, \tau)$ called the *Lagrangian bundle of G associated to S*, as follows:

i. $\mathcal{L}$ is the set of all Lagrangians of $W = S \oplus S$;

ii. $\mathcal{E}$ is the disjoint union of the spaces

$$\mathcal{E}_L = \{ f : W \to \mathbb{C} \mid f(w+\zeta) = \chi(w,\zeta) f(w); \quad w \in W,\ \zeta \in L \},$$

for $L \in \mathcal{L}$, each endowed with the inner product given by

$$\langle f, h \rangle = \sum_{w \in W} f(w) \overline{h(w)}$$

.

iii. $p : \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{L}$ is given by $p(f) = L$ if $f \in \mathcal{E}_L$

iv. τ denotes the action of G in $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{L}$ given by

$$(\tau_g(f))(w) = f(g^{-1}w), \tau_g(L) = g(L),$$

for $g \in G, f \in \mathcal{E}, w \in W, L \in \mathcal{L}$.

Theorem 4.6. *Assume that $2 \in A$ is invertible. Then the family*

$$\Gamma = \{ \gamma_{L',L} \mid \gamma_{L',L} : E_L \to E_{L'} \}_{L,L' \in \mathcal{L}}$$

of linear isomorphisms

$$\gamma_{L',L}(f)(w) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|L|\,|L \cap L'|}} \sum_{\zeta' \in L'} \overline{\chi(w,\zeta')} f(w+\zeta'), \quad (f \in \mathcal{E}_L, w \in W)$$

is a G-equivariant connection with multiplier

$$\mu_\Gamma(L'', L', L) = \sqrt{\frac{|L'' \cap L'|}{|L \cap L''|\,|L' \cap L|\,|L|}} S_W(L; L', L'') \qquad (L, L', L'' \in \mathcal{L}),$$

where the geometric Gauss sum $S_W(L; L', L'')$ is given by

$$S_W(L; L', L'') = \sum_{\zeta \in L \cap (L'+L'')} \chi(\zeta' + \zeta'')$$

where $\zeta \in L \cap (L' + L'')$ is written as $\zeta' + \zeta''$ with $\zeta' \in L', \zeta'' \in L''$.
In other words, we have, for all $L, L', L'' \in \mathcal{L}$):

a) $\langle \gamma_{L',L}(f), h \rangle = \langle f, \gamma_{L,L'}(h) \rangle \quad (f \in \mathcal{E}_L, h \in \mathcal{E}_{L'})$

b) $\langle \gamma_{,L}(f), \gamma_{L',L}(h) \rangle = \langle f, h \rangle \quad (f, h \in \mathcal{E}_L)$

c) $\gamma_{L',L} \circ \gamma_{L',L} = \gamma_{L,L} = id_{\mathcal{E}_L}$

d) $\gamma_{L'',L'} \circ \gamma_{L',L} = \sqrt{\frac{|L'' \cap L'|}{|L \cap L''||L' \cap L||L|}} S_W(L; L', L'') \gamma_{L'',L}$
where

$$S_W(L; L', L'') = \sum_{\zeta \in L \cap (L'+L'')} \chi(\zeta', \zeta'')$$

where $\zeta \in L \cap (L' + L'')$ is written as $\zeta' + \zeta''$ with $\zeta' \in L', \zeta'' \in L''$.

e) $\tau_g \circ \gamma_{L',L} = \gamma_{g(L',g(L)} \circ \tau_g \qquad (g \in G)$.

Proof. We prove first a).

$$\langle \gamma_{L',L}(f), h\rangle = \sum_{w\in W} \gamma_{L',L}(f)(w)\overline{h(w)}$$

$$= \sum_{w\in W} \frac{1}{\sqrt{|L||L\cap L'|}} \sum_{\zeta'\in L'} \overline{\chi(w,\zeta')} f(w+\zeta')\overline{h(w)}$$

$$= \sum_{z\in W} \frac{1}{\sqrt{|L||L\cap L'|}} \sum_{\zeta'\in L'} \overline{\chi(z-\zeta',\zeta')} f(z)\overline{h(z-\zeta')}$$

$$= \sum_{z\in W} \frac{1}{\sqrt{|L||L\cap L'|}} \sum_{\zeta'\in L'} \overline{\chi(z,\zeta')} f(z)\overline{\chi(z,-\zeta')h(z)}$$

$$= \sum_{z\in W} \frac{1}{\sqrt{|L||L\cap L'|}} \sum_{\zeta'\in L'} f(z)\overline{h(z)} = \sum_{z\in W} \frac{|L'|}{\sqrt{|L||L\cap L'|}} f(z)\overline{h(z)}$$

$$= \sum_{z\in W} \frac{|L|}{\sqrt{|L'||L\cap L'|}} f(z)\overline{h(z)} = \sum_{z\in W} \frac{1}{\sqrt{|L'||L\cap L'|}} \sum_{\zeta\in L} f(z)\overline{h(z)}$$

$$= \sum_{z\in W} \frac{1}{\sqrt{|L'||L\cap L'|}} \sum_{\zeta\in L} \chi(z,-\zeta) f(z)\overline{\overline{\chi(z-\zeta,\zeta)}h(z)}$$

$$= \sum_{w\in W} \frac{1}{\sqrt{|L'||L\cap L'|}} \sum_{\zeta\in L} f(w)\overline{\overline{\chi(w,\zeta)}h(w+\zeta)}$$

$$= \langle f, \gamma_{L,L'}(h)\rangle$$

This proves a). Next, let us prove c).

$$(\gamma_{L,L'} \circ \gamma_{L',L} f)(w) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|L||L\cap L'|}} \sum_{\zeta\in L} \overline{\chi(w,\zeta)}(\gamma_{L',L} f)(w+\zeta)$$

$$= \frac{1}{|L||L\cap L'|} \sum_{\zeta\in L,\zeta'\in L'} \overline{\chi(w,\zeta)\chi(w+\zeta,\zeta')} f(w+\zeta+\zeta')$$

$$= \frac{1}{|L||L\cap L'|} \sum_{\zeta\in L,\zeta'\in L'} \overline{\chi(w,\zeta)\chi(w+\zeta,\zeta')}\chi(w+\zeta',\zeta) f(w+\zeta')$$

$$= \frac{1}{|L||L\cap L'|} \sum_{\zeta'\in L'} \left(\sum_{\zeta\in L} \chi(\zeta',2\zeta)\right) \overline{\chi(w,\zeta')} f(w+\zeta')$$

$$= \frac{1}{|L\cap L'|} \sum_{\zeta'\in L'\cap L} \overline{\chi(w,\zeta')} f(w+\zeta')$$

$$= f(w)$$

Now, b) follows from a) and c).

Now we prove d).

$$(\gamma_{L,L''} \circ \gamma_{L'',L'} \circ \gamma_{L',L}\ f)(w) =$$

$$\frac{(|L|^3|L''\cap L||L'\cap L''|)}{\sqrt{|L\cap L'|}} \sum_{\zeta\in L}\sum_{\zeta'\in L'}\sum_{\zeta\in L} \overline{\chi(w,\zeta)\chi(w+\zeta,\zeta'')\chi(w+\zeta+\zeta'',\zeta')}\cdot f(w+\zeta+\zeta''+\zeta') =$$

$$\frac{(|L|^3|L''\cap L||L'\cap L''|)}{\sqrt{|L\cap L'|}} \sum_{\zeta''\in L''}\sum_{\zeta'\in L'} (\sum_{\zeta\in L} \chi(\zeta''+\zeta',2\zeta))\overline{\chi(w,\zeta'+\zeta'')\overline{\chi(\zeta'',\zeta')}}\cdot f(w+\zeta''+\zeta') =$$

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{|L||L''\cap L||L'\cap L''||L\cap L'|}} \sum_{\substack{\zeta'\in L',\zeta''\in L'' \\ \zeta'+\zeta''\in L}} \chi(\zeta',\zeta'')\overline{\chi(w,\zeta'+\zeta'')} f(w+\zeta'+\zeta'') =$$

$$\sqrt{\frac{|L'\cap L''|}{|L||L''\cap L||L\cap L'|}} \sum_{\zeta\in L\cap(L'+L'')} \chi(\zeta',\zeta'')$$

Finally, the last statement e) is straightforward. ☐

4.2.2. *The Weil representation of $G = SL(2,A)$ constructed by contraction of its Lagrangian bundle.* Once the Lagrangian bundle of G is constructed with its natural connection, we obtain the projective Weil representation of G by the contraction procedure presented in the previous paragraph. Whether this projective representation may be "corrected" to afford a true representation of G will depend on whether its cocyle c is cohomologically trivial or not, i.e. is a coboundary, which in turn depends essentially on the nature of the involutive ring A. We summarize our results so far in the following theorem, keeping the notations above.

Theorem 4.7. *Let (S,η) be a self dual $A-$module. For each $L \in \mathcal{L}$, we have a unitary projective representation $(V_L;\rho^L)$ of $G = SL_*(2,A)$, that we call Weil representation of G associated to L, given by $V_L = \mathcal{E}_L$ and $\rho_g = \gamma_{L,gL} \circ \tau_g$.*

Its cocycle c_L is defined by

$$c_L(g,h) = \sqrt{\frac{|gh.L \cap g.L|}{|L \cap gh.L||g.L \cap L||L|}}S_W(L; g.L, gh.L) \quad (g,h \in SL_*(2,A))$$

where in general, for $L, L', L'' \in \mathcal{L}$, $S_W(L;L',L'')$ denotes the geometric Gauss sum given by

$$\sum_{\zeta \in L \cap (L'+L'')} \chi(\zeta',\zeta'')$$

where $\zeta \in L \cap (L'+L'')$ is written as $\zeta' + \zeta''$ with $\zeta' \in L', \zeta'' \in L''$.

□

For an explicit computation of the cocycle see [10] for the finite full matrix ring case and [6] for the locally profinite case.

In the next section we will consider the case of a non semi-simple involutive ring.

5. Weil representations of $G = SL_*(2,A)$ for a non semi-simple A.

5.1. **The involutive ring A_m.** We consider now the case of a commutative non semi-simple involutive ring A with nilpotent radical, arising from the modular group algebra of the cyclic group C_p of order p over $\mathbb{F}_p$ (cf. section 2, example iii.), which may also be regarded as the p-analogue of the real algebra of p - jets in one variable, i. e. a truncated polynomial algebra over $\mathbb{F}_p$.

More generally, following [5], let $k = \mathbb{F}_q$ be the finite field with q elements, q odd and m a positive integer. Set

$$A_m = k[x]/\langle x^m \rangle = \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} a_i x^i : a_i \in k, x^m = 0 \right\}.$$

We denote by $*$ the k-linear involution on A_m given by $x \mapsto -x$, which is, up to isomorphism, the unique involution on A_m, besides the Identity, as proved in [5].

Regarding notations, in this section we will write A_m^s instead of $(A_m)^s$ for the set of symmetric elements in the involutive ring A_m.

5.2. **Bruhat Presentation of the group $G = SL_*(2,A_m)$.** From now on, the involution $*$ on the ring A_m will denote either the identity or the essentially unique non-trivial involution given by $x^* = -x$ on A_m. In [5] we have proved the following two key lemmas.

Lemma 5.1. *Let a, c be two elements in A_m such that a or c is invertible and $a^*c = c^*a$. Then there is a symmetric element s such that $a + sc$ is an invertible element in A_m.*

□

Lemma 5.2. *Let* a, c *be two non-invertible symmetric elements in* A_m. *Then there is a symmetric invertible element* x *in* A_m *such that* $a-x^{-1}$ *and* $b+x$ *are symmetric invertible elements in* A_m.

□

From the first lemma it follows that A_m is weakly euclidean and so, by theorem 3.3, the group $G = SL_*(2, A_m)$ is generated by its Bruhat generators. Moreover, the second lemma entails that the w-length of any element of G is at most 2:

Proposition 5.3. *The group* G *is generated by the set of matrices* $h(t)$, $t \in A_m^\times$, $u(b)$, $b \in A_m^s$ *and* w.

$$h(a) = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & (a^*)^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \ a \in A^\times \quad w = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \ \text{and} \ u(s) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & s \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$s \in A_s$, *which we call "Bruhat generators" for* G.

More precisely, if we put

$$B = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_*(2, A_m) \right\},$$

then B *is a subgroup of* $SL_*(2, A_m)$ *and* $SL_*(2, A_m) = B \cup BwB \cup BwBwB$, *so that the Bruhat length of* $SL_*(2, A_m)$ *is* 2.

As in [5] we can now easily prove:

Theorem 5.4. *The set of matrices* $h(t)$, $t \in A_m^\times$, $u(b)$, $b \in A_m^s$ *and* w *of* $SL_*(2, A_m)$ *together with the relations:*

1. $h(t_1)h(t_2) = h(t_1 t_2)$,
2. $u(b_1)u(b_2) = u(b_1 + b_2)$,
3. $h(t)u(b) = u(tbt^*)h(t)$,
4. $w^2 = h(-1)$,
5. $wh(t) = h(t^{*^{-1}})w$,
6. $u(t)wu(t^{-1})wu(t) = wh(-t^{-1})$,

give a presentation of the group $SL_*(2, A_m)$, *which we call the Bruhat presentation of* $SL_*(2, A_m)$.

5.3. **Construction of a Weil Representation of $G = SL_*(2, A_m)$ via generators and relations.** We recall here the construction of the Weil representation of $SL_*(2, A_m)$ via generators and relations, given in [5], which takes advantage of the Bruhat presentation of this group, as in [13]

To this end we consider the non-degenerate quadratic A_m-module (A_m, Q, B_Q), where $Q : A_m \to A_m$ is given by $Q(t) = t^*t$ and $B_Q : A_m \times A_m \to A_m$ is such that $B_Q(t, s) = t^*s + ts^*$. Let tr be the linear form on A_m defined by $\operatorname{tr}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} a_i x^i\right) = a_{m-1}$.

Proposition 5.5. *Let* m *be an odd number. Then*

1. *The form* tr *on* A_m *is* k*-linear and it is invariant under the involution* $*$.
2. *If* $*$ *is the non-trivial involution, then the* k*-form* $\operatorname{tr} \circ B_Q$ *is a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form.*

We assume now that m is odd and that ψ is a non-trivial character of k^+. Set $\underline{\psi} = \psi \circ \operatorname{tr}$, so that $\underline{\psi}$ is a non trivial character of A_m^+.

Recall that the Gauss sum $S_{\underline{\psi}\circ Q}$ associated to any A-quadratic module (M, Q, B_Q) and to $\underline{\psi}$ is defined by

$$S_{\underline{\psi}\circ Q}(a) = \sum_{m\in M} \underline{\psi}(aQ(m))\,.$$

for all $a \in A$. The function α from $A_m^\times \cap A_m^s$ to $\mathbb{C}^\times$ given by

$$\alpha(a) = \frac{S_{\underline{\psi}\circ Q}(a)}{S_{\underline{\psi}\circ Q}(1)} \qquad (a \in A)$$

is not in general a constant function as in the case considered in [13], where $A = M(n,k)$, k a finite field of odd characteristic, endowed with the transpose mapping (section 2, example i.) and M has dimension $2rn$ as a k-vector space.

In [5] we prove that the function α coincides with the sign character of the group $A_m^\times \cap A_m^s$ of all symmetric invertible elements in A_m. With these notations, we have:

Theorem 5.6. [5] *Let W be the $\mathbb{C}$-vector space of all complex functions on A_m. Set*

i. $\rho(h(t))(f)(a) = \alpha(t)f(at)$,
ii. $\rho(u(b))(f)(a) = \underline{\psi}(bQ(a))f(a)$, $f \in W$ *and* $a,b \in A_m$,
iii. $\rho(w)(f)(a) = \frac{\alpha(-1)}{S_{\underline{\psi}\circ Q}(1)} \sum_{c\in A_m} \underline{\psi}(B_Q(a,c))f(c)$, $f \in W$ *and* $a \in A_m$.

where $f \in W, a \in A_m, t \in A_m^\times, b \in A_m^s$. These formulas define a linear representation (W, ρ) of G, which we call generalized Weil representation of G.

5.4. **Geometric construction of a Weil representation of $G = SL_*(2, A_m)$ via contraction of its Lagrangian bundle.** We will apply now the general construction of a Lagrangian bundle for $G = SL_*(2, A)$ to the case where $A = A_m$. Keeping the notations of 4.2.1 we take the A_m- module S to be A_m itself and $\eta(a,b) = tr(a^*b)$ for all $a, b \in A_m$ where tr denotes the trace mapping from A_m to k defined in 5.3. Then $W = A_m \oplus A_m$ and the symplectic bi-character $\chi : W \times W \to \mathbb{U}$ is given by $\chi = \psi \circ B$ where B is the non degenerate symplectic form on W given by $B(v,w) = \eta(v_1, w_2) - \eta(v_2, w_1)$ for all $v = (v_1, v_2), w = (w_1, w_2) \in W$.

Example 5.7. For $m = 3$ for instance, if we write
$v_i = v_i^{(0)} + v_i^{(1)}x + v_i^{(2)}x^2 \qquad (i = 1, 2)$
$w_i = w_i^{(0)} + w_i^{(1)}x + w_i^{(2)}x^2 \quad (i = 1, 2)$
then we find that
$B(v,w) = (v_1^{(0)}w_2^{(2)} - v_2^{(2)}w_1^{(0)}) + (v_1^{(2)}w_2^{(0)} - v_2^{(0)}w_1^{(2)}) + (v_2^{(1)}w_1^{(1)} - v_1^{(1)}w_2^{(1)})$,
an orthogonal sum of 3 hyperbolic planes, so that

$$B \cong (y_1z_6 - y_6z_1) + (y_3z_4 - y_4z_3) + (y_5z_2 - y_2z_5).$$

Notice now that for A_m we have $B = tr \circ \mathbb{B}$, where tr denotes the trace from A_m $\mathbb{B}$ is the A_m-valued anti-hermitian form on W defined by $\mathbb{B}(v,w) = v_1^*w_2 - v_2^*w_1$ for all $v = (v_1, v_2), w = (w_1, w_2) \in W$. So, in fact, Lagrangians in W may be defined directly in terms of the A_m-valued anti-hermitian form $\mathbb{B}$:

Proposition 5.8. *An A-submodule L of W is a Lagrangian iff L is maximal totally isotropic with respect to the anti-hermitian form $\mathbb{B}$, i.e. L coincides with its orthogonal relative to $\mathbb{B}$.*

Proof. If L is a Lagrangian then

$$0 = B(u, va) = tr(\mathbb{B}(u, va)) = tr(\mathbb{B}(u, v)a)$$

for all $u, v \in L, a \in A$. Since tr is non degenerate it follows that $B(u, v) = 0$ for all $u, v \in L$, so that L is totally isotropic for $\mathbb{B}$. Moreover, if $w \in W$ is such that $\mathbb{B}(u, w) = 0$ for all $u \in L$ then also $B(u, w) = tr(\mathbb{B}(u, w)) = 0$ for all $u \in L$ so that $w \in L^{\perp} = L$. □

Also notice that any Lagrangian L is a usual Lagrangian for the k-symplectic space (W, B), and so it has k-dimension equal to the k-dimension of $S = A_m$, namely m.

Recall that in 4.2.2 we have shown how to construct a projective representation (V, ρ^L) of G by contraction of the Lagrangian G-bundle $\mathcal{H} = (\mathcal{E}, p, \mathcal{L}, \tau)$ associated to G and S over a chosen base point $L \in \mathcal{L}$ along the connection Γ. The cocycle c_L of (V, ρ^L) is given by $c_L(g, h) = \sqrt{\frac{|gh.L \cap g.L|}{|L \cap gh.L||g.L \cap L||L|}} S_W(L; g.L, gh.L) \quad (g, h \in G)$ where in general, for $L, L', L'' \in \mathcal{L}$, $S_W(L; L', L'')$ denotes the geometric Gauss sum given by $\sum_{\zeta \in L \cap (L'+L'')} \chi(\zeta', \zeta'')$ where $\zeta \in L \cap (L' + L'')$ is written as $\zeta' + \zeta''$ with $\zeta' \in L', \zeta'' \in L''$.

Explicit formulas of the geometric Weil operators for the Bruhat generators of G. We calculate now the explicit form of the geometric Weil operators corresponding to the Bruhat generators of G, given by the contraction procedure 5.4.

Notice that to obtain nicer formulas we will take the natural (left) action of G on its Lagrangian bundle to be induced from right matrix multiplication $w \mapsto w.g$ in $W = A_m \oplus A_m$. Then the general notation gw means in fact $g.w^{-1}$, so that, for instance $(\tau_g f)(w) = f(g^{-1}w) = f(w.g)$ for $g \in G, f \in \mathcal{E}_L, w \in W$.

We contract our Lagrangian bundle over the Lagrangian $L_0 = \langle (0, 1) \rangle$ generated by $(0, 1) \in W$. Notice that every function $f \in \mathcal{E}_{L_0} = V^{L_0}$ is completely determined by its values $f(a, 0)$ on the Lagrangian $L_1 = \langle (1, 0) \rangle$, supplementary to L_0 in W. We define then a linear isomorphism $\phi : f \mapsto f'$ from V_{L_0} to $L^2(A)$ where $f'(a) = f(a, 0)$ for all $a \in A$. We "translate" now the geometric Weil operators $\rho_g^{L_0} = \gamma_{L_0, g(L_0)} \circ \tau_g$, where g is a Bruhat generator of G, into operators σ_g in $L^2(A)$ via ϕ.

Recall that in our case the connection isomorphisms $\gamma_{L', L} \quad (L, L' \in \mathcal{L})$ are given by

$$(\gamma_{L', L} f)(u) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|L|\,|L \cap L'|}} \sum_{v \in L'} \underline{\psi}(-u_1^* v_2 + u_2^* v_1) f(u+v), \quad (f \in \mathcal{E}_L, u = (u_1, u_2) \in W)$$

where we have written $\underline{\psi} = \psi \circ tr$.

Proposition 5.9. *With the above notations, the operators σ_g in $L^2(A)$, corresponding via the isomorphism ϕ to the geometric Weil operators $\rho_g^{L_0}$, where g is a Bruhat generator of G, are given by*

i. $(\sigma_{h(a)} f')(c) = f'(ac) \quad (a \in A^{\times}, f' \in L^2(A), c \in A)$.
ii. $(\sigma_{u(b)} f')(c) = \underline{\psi}(bcc^*) f'(c) \quad (b \in A^s, f' \in L^2(A), c \in A)$.
iii. $(\sigma_w f')(c) = q^{-m/2} \sum_{a \in A} \underline{\psi}(2c^* a) f'(a)$, *for all* $f' \in L^2(A), c \in A$.

Proof. To prove i. notice that $(\tau_{h(a)} f)(c, 0) = f(ac, 0)$, so that

$$(\sigma_{h(a)} f')(c) = f'(ac) \quad (a \in A^{\times}, f' \in L^2(A), c \in A).$$

To prove ii. we just check that for $b \in A^s$ and $f \in \mathcal{E}_{L_0}$ we have

$$(\tau_{u(b)} f)(c, 0) = f(c, cb) = f((c, 0) + (0, cb)) = \underline{\psi}(bcc^*) f(c, 0)$$

so that

$$(\sigma_{u(b)} f')(c) = \underline{\psi}(bcc^*) f'(c) \quad (b \in A^s, f' \in L^2(A), c \in A).$$

To prove iii., we calculate

$$(\rho_w^{L_0} f)(c, 0) = (\gamma_{L_0, w(L_0)} \circ \tau_w f)(c, 0) = q^{-m/2} \sum_{a \in A} \underline{\psi}(-c^* a)(\tau_w f)(c, a) =$$
$$= q^{-m/2} \sum_{a \in A} \underline{\psi}(-c^* a) f(-a, c) = q^{-m/2} \sum_{a \in A} \underline{\psi}(-c^* a) f((-a, 0) + (0, c)) =$$
$$= q^{-m/2} \sum_{a \in A} \underline{\psi}(-c^* a) \underline{\psi}(-a^* c) f(-a, 0) = q^{-m/2} \sum_{a \in A} \underline{\psi}(2c^* a) f(a, 0),$$

so that

$$(\sigma_w f')(c) = q^{-m/2} \sum_{a \in A} \underline{\psi}(2c^* a) f'(a),$$

for all $f' \in L^2(A), c \in A$.

$\square$

Now we can compare easily on Bruhat generators, our geometric Weil operators with the Weil operators given in [5].

Theorem 5.10. *With the notations of prop. 5.9, the geometric Weil operators σ_g, g a Bruhat generator of G, compare as follows with the corresponding Weil operators $\rho(g)$ in* [5]*:*

i. $\rho(h(a)) = \alpha(a) \sigma_{h(a)} \quad (a \in A)$;
ii. $\rho(u(b)) = \sigma_{u(b)} \quad (b \in A^s)$;
iii. $\rho(w) = \omega(\psi, q) \sigma_w$ *where α denotes the sign character of A, which coincides with the Legendre symbol on $k = \mathbb{F}_q$ and $\omega(\psi, q)$ denotes the fourth root of unity such that* $\sum_{t \in k} \psi(t^2) = \omega(\psi, q)\sqrt{q}$, *whose square is* $\alpha(-1)$.

$\square$

Corollary 5.11. *The 2-cocycle of our geometric projective Weil representation (V_{L_0}, ρ^{L_0}) is cohomologically trivial. More precisely it is the coboundary of the 1-cocyle δ on G defined as follows, with the help of the Bruhat presentation of G:*

i. $\delta(h(a)u(b)) = \alpha(a) \quad (a \in A^\times, b \in A^s)$
ii. $\delta(h(a)u(b)wu(c)) = \alpha(a)\omega(\psi, q) \quad (a \in A^\times, b, c \in A^s)$
ii. $\delta(h(a)u(b)wu(c)wu(d)) = \alpha(-a) \quad (a \in A^\times, b, c, d \in A^s)$

References

[1] G. Cliff, D. McNeilly, F. Szechtman, Weil representations of symplectic groups over rings, J. London Math. Soc., 62 (2000), 423-436.

[2] J. Dieudonné, Les déterminants sur un corps non commutatif, Bull. Soc. Math. France, 71 (1943), 27 -45.

[3] I. M. Gel' fand, V. S. Retakh, Determinants of matrices over noncommutative rings. (Russian), Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. 25 (1991), no. 2, 13 - 25, 96; translation in Funct. Anal. Appl. 25 (1991), no. 2, 91-102.

[4] S. Gurevitch, R. Hadani, Geometric Weil representation, Sel. math., New ser. 13 (2007), 465481.

[5] L. Gutiérrez, A Generalized Weil Representation for $SL_*(2, A_m)$, where $A_m = F_q[x]/$, J. of Algebra, 332 (2009), 42-53.

[6] R. Johnson, J. Pantoja, Weil Representations for $SL_*(2, A)$, Aa locally profinite ring with involution, J. of Lie Theory, Vol. 14 (2004) 1-9.

[7] G. Lion, M. Vergne, The Weil Representation, Maslov index and Theta Series, Prog. Math., 6, Birkhäuser-Verlag, Basel, 1980.

[8] J. Pantoja, A presentation of the group $SL_*(2, A)$, A a simple artinian ring with involution, Manuscripta math., 121 (2006), 97-104.

[9] J. Pantoja et J. Soto-Andrade, Groupes de Grassmann-Heisenberg et reprsentations de Weil gnralises pour SL_n, n pair", Astérisque, 168 (1988), 167-190.

[10] J. Pantoja et J. Soto-Andrade, Représentations de Weil de SL$_*$(2,A) et SL(n,q). C.R.Acad.Sc.Paris, 323 (1996), Série I, 1109-1112.

[11] J. Pantoja et J.Soto-Andrade, A Bruhat decomposition of the group $SL_*(2, A)$, J. of Algebra 262 (2003), 401-412.

[12] J. Pantoja, J. Soto-Andrade, Bruhat presentations for *-classical groups, Comm. in Alg. 37 (2009), 4170-4191.

[13] J. Soto-Andrade, Représentations de certains groupes symplectiques finis, Bull.Soc. Math. France, Mém. 55-56 (1978).

[14] Soto-Andrade J., Geometrical Gel'fand Models,tensor products and Weil representations, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., 47, Amer. Math. Soc., 1987, 305-316.

Instituto de Matemáticas, Campus Isla Teja s/n, Valdivia, Chile
E-mail address: `luisgutierrezfrez@gmail.com`

Instituto de Matemáticas, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Valparaíso, Valparaíso, Chile
E-mail address: `jpantoja@ucv.cl`

Depto. Matemáticas, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de Chile, Santiago, Chile
E-mail address: `sotoandrade@u.uchile.cl`